Learning to Generate and Edit Hairstyles
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ABSTRACT
Modeling hairstyles for classification, synthesis and image editing has many practical applications. However, existing hairstyle datasets, such as the Beauty e-Expert dataset, are too small for developing and evaluating computer vision models, especially the recent deep generative models such as generative adversarial network (GAN). In this paper, we contribute a new large-scale hairstyle dataset called Hairstyle30k, which is composed of 30k images containing 64 different types of hairstyles. To enable automated generating and modifying hairstyles in images, we also propose a novel GAN model termed Hairstyle GAN (H-GAN) which can be learned efficiently. Extensive experiments on the new dataset as well as existing benchmark datasets demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed H-GAN model.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Hairstyle can express one’s personalities, self-confidence, and attitudes. It is thus an important aspect of personal appearance. A computer vision model that enables recognition, synthesis, and modification of hairstyles in images is of great practical use. For example, with such as model, customer can take a photo of him/herself and then synthesize different hairstyles before going to the hairdresser’s to make the most satisfactory one a reality. In addition, an automated hairstyle recognition model can be used for recognizing person’s identity for security applications.

Existing efforts on hairstyle modeling have been focused on recommending the most suitable hairstyles [18], or interactively users’ editing [7, 22, 32]. However, there is no attempt so far to systematically study hairstyles in images and no model available that can address various hairstyle modeling task in a comprehensive manner.

One of the reasons is that there are large variations in hairstyles and in order to model these variations, large-scale datasets are needed. Unfortunately, such a large-scale hairstyle dataset does not exist. In Multimedia and computer vision communities, hairstyles are often labeled as attributes for face datasets. However, such annotation is often crude, focusing mostly hair length and color. On the other hand, existing specialized hairstyle datasets such as Beauty e-Expert dataset [18] are too small to represent the diversity of human hairstyles in the wild.

In this paper, we introduce the first large-scale hairstyle dataset – Hairstyle30K to the community and hope that this will greatly boost the research into hairstyle modeling. Images in the dataset (see Fig. 1 for examples) are collected from the Web via search engines using keywords corresponding a hairstyle ontology. This results in 64 different types of hairstyles in 30K images. On average, each hairstyle class has around 480 images. The newly proposed dataset is used to train the H-GAN model proposed in this paper. Importantly, with 64 hairstyle classes, this is a fine-grained dataset presenting a challenging recognition task, as verified by our experiments.

Apart from releasing a new dataset, we also present a Hairstyle Generative Adversarial Network (H-GAN) model for automatically generating or modifying/editing hairstyles given an input image. Our H-GAN has three components: an encoder-decoding sub-network, a GAN and a recognition subnetwork. Particularly, the encoder-decoding network is a variant of Variational Auto-Encoders (VAE) [12]; the recognition sub-network shares the same networks as the discriminator of GAN as in InfoGAN [5]. The model is unique in that once trained, it can be used to perform various tasks including recognition, synthesis and modification. Extensive experiments of our H-GAN algorithm on the proposed dataset and other general-purpose benchmark datasets validate the efficacy of our model.

Contributions. We make several contributions in this paper. Firstly, to study the hairstyle related problems, we contribute a new large-scale hairstyle dataset – Hairstyle30k to the community. To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest hairstyle dataset, especially in terms of the number of hairstyle classes. Secondly, we present a new deep generative model called – H-GAN which can effectively and efficiently generate and modify the hairstyles of person images. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our H-GAN is superior to a number of state-of-the-art alternative models.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Image Editing and Synthesis
Editing image with interaction. Recent advances in interactive image segmentation have significantly simplified the tasks of object
Figure 1: Examples of our Hairstyle30K dataset with corresponding hairstyle class labels.

segmentations [3, 4, 16, 25, 38]. Existing interactive segmentation approaches such as lazy snapping [16] and grab cut [25] as well as recent Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN) related methods [44] enable the users to achieve good quality object cutout with a few of strokes. In comparison, the existing efforts on hairstyles and makeup editing are very primitive [7, 22, 32]. In theory, these interactive image editing works can be used for editing hairstyles. However, it can be tedious and time-consuming to manually modify hairstyles via user-interaction. Fully automated image editing thus becomes desirable.

Automated image editing. There are some recent efforts on fully automated images editing [10, 24, 28, 43]. In particular, two recent studies [24, 28] propose approaches to modify the attribute of facial images. The proposed H-GAN is an automated image editing model but focuses on hairstyle images.

Image Editing and Synthesis. Our work is also related to previous work on joint image editing and synthesis [10, 14, 24, 28, 39]. Shen et al. [28] manipulated the facial attributes by a GAN-based image transformation networks; nevertheless each trained model in [28] can only modify one special type of facial attribute images. In contrast, one trained model of our H-GAN can modify all hairstyles presented in the training data. In model proposed by [39], conditional variational auto-encoder is used to generate facial images of different attributes; due to the lack of the adversarial loss, the generative images are often blurry. In VAEGAN[14], VAE is combined with GAN to generate more realistic image. However, compared to our H–GAN, it does not use attribute information and modification is achieved by calculating residual attribute vector. The main difference between VAEGAN and H_GAN is thus the fact that we add attribute information explicitly into the generator so that we can specify different attributes that we want to change. Also we introduce a recognition network to maximize the mutual information between attributes and generated images to generate images with specified attributes.

2.2 Attribute Analysis

Attribute-based people search. Hairstyles can be considered as a special type of person attributes. Such attributes can be used in the applications of surveillance environments, including but not limited in attribute-based people search [29, 34, 36] and person re-identification [15, 21, 31, 42]. Recent studies on person attributes are focused on clothing. These include clothes recognition [37], clothing parsing [17] as well as clothing retrieval [2, 41]. The study presented in this paper complements the existing clothing oriented attribute analysis.

Face attribute analysis. It is another important research topic related to our hairstyle analysis. Facial attribute analysis was first studied by Kumar et al. [13]. In terms of different visual features and distinctive learning paradigm, facial attribute analysis has been developed into three categories: (1) the methods using hand-crafted visual features [13], such as SIFT [20] and LBP [23]; (2) the methods utilizing the recent deep features [19, 36]; and (3) multi-task methods for learning facial attribute [1, 6, 26].

3 DATASET COLLECTION

Our hairstyle30k dataset is designed for studying the problem of hairstyle classification as well as other hairstyle related tasks including synthesis and editing. To construct the dataset, we had downloaded more than 1 million images using various web search engines (Google, Flicker, and Bing, etc) with hairstyle related search words, e.g. Beehive hairstyle. The full set of class names of hairstyles are listed in Fig. 1. The initial downloaded images were firstly filtered by face detection algorithm. We subsequently pruned some irrelevant or erroneous images which has neither faces nor hairstyles. We then manually filtered out the irrelevant images for some hairstyles.
that come without faces, e.g., Ducktail. We carefully annotated the pruned images and classified them into different hairstyle classes. Finally, we obtained 30k images with 41 types of male hairstyles and 42 types of female hairstyles. Among them, 19 kinds of hairstyle have both male and female versions. Thus totally, the dataset has 64 different types of hairstyles.

3.1 Statistics

The number of images of each hairstyle class are varied in term of how popular this hairstyle is. In general, similar to most object classification dataset [33], we also observe a long-tailed distribution of the number of hairstyle instances over classes as illustrated in Fig. 2. On average, each hairstyle has around 480 images.

3.2 Uniqueness

Existing publicly available datasets for academic research either have too few image (e.g., the Beauty e-Experts dataset); or too few hairstyle classes (e.g., the CelebA dataset). Specifically, The Beauty e-Expert dataset [18] has only 1505 female figures in distinct fashions; in contrast, our hairstyle dataset has around 30K instances. The general-purpose face dataset CelebA [19] has around 200K celebrity figures with 40 annotated attributes. Nevertheless, in CelebA, only very few and very simple hairstyles are labeled as the attributes, e.g., wavy hair. Generally, the targets of our dataset is also different from CelebA, since ours is a benchmark dataset for recognizing different hairstyles; and the images within each hairstyle class can cover large pose variations and background clutter. Importantly, The images of the same person with different hairstyles should be categorized into different hairstyle classes.

3.3 Applications

The datasets can be used to develop different applications. Specifically, the task of recognizing different hairstyles belongs to the category of fine-grained classification, which is an active and yet very challenging research topic in the multimedia community, e.g., [11, 35]. Potentially, this dataset can serve as the benchmark dataset for many real-world applications and tasks such as hairstyle retrieval and recommendation systems [18], and the research of recognizing fine-grained hairstyles, and automatically generating and changing the hairstyles. In this next section, we propose a framework that enables three tasks, i.e. recognition, generation and modification of hairstyles, by using a single model.

4 HAIRSTYLE GAN (H-GAN)

4.1 Background

GAN [8] targets at learning to discriminate real data samples from generated samples by training the generator network $G$ to fool the discriminator network $D$. It is formulated to optimize the following objective functions,

$$ \min_{G} \max_{D} \mathcal{L}_{GAN} = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}(x)} \left[ \log D(x) \right] + \mathbb{E}_{z \sim \text{ prior}(z)} \left[ \log (1 - D(G(z))) \right] $$

where $p_{data}(x)$ and $p_{prior}(z)$ are the distributions of real data and Gaussian prior $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The training process iteratively updates the parameters of $G$ and $D$ with the loss functions $\mathcal{L}_{D} = \mathcal{L}_{GAN}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{G} = -\mathcal{L}_{GAN}$ for generator and discriminator respectively. The generator can draw a sample $z \sim p_{prior}(z) = \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and utilized the generator network $G$, i.e., $G(z)$ to generate an image.

InfoGAN [5] further models the noise variable $z$ in Eq (1) by decomposing it into a latent representation $y$ and incompressible noise $\tilde{z}$. To ensure no loss information of latent representation in the generation, InfoGAN maximizes the mutual information $I(y;G(z,y))$ as the recognition loss,

$$ L_{rg} = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim G(z,y)} \left[ \log \mathbb{P}_{y-p_{data}(y|x)} \left[ \log Q(y|x) \right] \right] $$

where $Q(y|x)$ is an approximation of the posterior $p_{data}(y|x)$. InfoGAN can unsupervisedly learn disentangled, interpretable and meaningful representations with the loss function of the generator $G$ as $L_{G_{infoGAN}} = L_{G} - L_{rg}$.

VAEGAN [14] integrates the Variational Auto-Encoders (VAE) into GAN. It uses the feature-wise errors to replace the element-wise errors of original GAN in the data space. The VAE part encodes the data sample $x$ to latent representation $z \sim \text{Enc}(x) = p_{enc}(z|x)$ and decodes the $z$ back to data space: $\hat{x} \sim \text{Dec}(z) = p_{dec}(x|z)$ by two loss functions: (1) the regularization of the latent space $L_{prior} = KL(q_{enc}(z|x) \parallel p_{prior}(z))$, where $q_{enc}(z|x)$ is the approximation to the true posterior $p_{dec}(z|x)$; (2) the reconstruction error

$$ L_{recon}^{D_{l}} = -\mathbb{E}_{q_{enc}(z|x)} \left[ \log p_{dec}(D_{l}(x) | z) \right] $$

where $D_{l}(x)$ is hidden representation of $l$-th layer of the discriminator. Thus the loss functions of VAEGAN are updated as $L_{D} = L_{GAN} + \lambda \cdot L_{recon}^{D_{l}}$ and the encoder $L_{enc} = L_{prior} + L_{recon}^{D_{l}}$, where $\lambda$ is the coefficient. However the latent representation $z$ is unsupervised learned and not explicitly associated with any nameable attributes.

CVAE [30, 39] is short for the conditional VAE. CVAE introduces an independent attribute $y$ to control the generating process of $x$ by sampling from $p(x | y, z)$, where $p(y, z) = p(y)p(z)$. The encoder and decoder networks are thus $z \sim \text{Enc}(x) = q_{enc}(z|x)$ and $\hat{x} \sim \text{Dec}(z,y) = p_{dec}(x|z,y)$. The variable $y$ is introduced to control the generate process of $x$ by sampling from $p(x | y, z)$, where $p(y,z) = p(y)p(z)$. Nevertheless, $y$ is still sampled from data, but not directly optimized and learned from the data.

4.2 Hairstyle GAN (H-GAN)

Hairstyle GAN model is formulated for generating and modifying hairstyles in a single framework. Particularly, besides the input noise $z$ in GAN, we utilize the independent hairstyle variables $y$ of each image $x$, i.e. $y \sim p_{data}(y|x)$. Mathematically, we have i.e., $p(y,z) = p(y)p(z)$.

As overviewed in Fig. 3, the network of H-GAN has three components: an encoder-decoding sub-network, a GAN sub-network and a recognition sub-network. The network structure is explained in Tab. 1. The whole H-GAN network is trained together in an end-to-end fashion. Once trained, the encoder-decoding sub-network enables generating and changing hairstyles; the recognition sub-network can be used for hairstyle classification. Note that the recognition sub-network and discriminator of GAN share the same network structures except the last softmax classification layer, since both
sub-networks are assigned the classification task with the same input. The loss functions of the generator $G_{H-GAN}$, discriminator $D_{H-GAN}$, encoder and decoder are thus updated as,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{G_{H-GAN}} = \mathcal{L}_G + \lambda_1 \left( \mathcal{L}_{rgz} + \mathcal{L}_{rgy-G} \right) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_{D_1} \\
\mathcal{L}_{D_{H-GAN}} = \mathcal{L}_D + \left( \mathcal{L}_{rgy-D} + \mathcal{L}_{rgz} \right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{enc} = \mathcal{L}_{VAE} = \mathcal{L}_{prior} + \mathcal{L}_{D_1} \\
\mathcal{L}_{dec} = \mathcal{L}_{G_{H-GAN}}
$$

where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the weight of corresponding term; The reconstruction loss of Eq (3) is updated as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{recon}} = -\mathbb{E}_{z \sim \text{p}_{\text{enc}}(z|x), y \sim \text{p}_{\text{data}}(y|x)} \left[ \log \text{p}_{\text{dec}} (D_1 (x) \mid z, y) \right]
$$

where $D_1(x)$ is the hidden representation of $l$-th layer of the discriminator. It measures the loss of reconstructing generated images by sampling the $z$. $\mathcal{L}_{rgz}$ is the recognition loss on $z$, which is defined as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{rgz} = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \text{p}_{\text{data}}(x), z \sim \text{p}_{\text{enc}}(z|x)} \left[ \log \text{Q} (z \mid x) \right]
$$

$$
-\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \text{p}_{\text{dec}}(x|z, y), y \sim \text{p}_{\text{data}}(y|x), z \sim \text{p}_{\text{enc}}(z|x)} \left[ \log \text{Q} (z \mid x) \right]
$$

$$
-\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \text{p}_{\text{dec}}(x|z, y), y \sim \text{p}_{\text{data}}(y), z \sim \text{p}_{\text{prior}}(z)} \left[ \log \text{Q} (z \mid x) \right]
$$

$$
-\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \text{p}_{\text{dec}}(x|z, y), y \sim \text{p}_{\text{data}}(y), z \sim \text{p}_{\text{enc}}(z|x)} \left[ \log \text{Q} (z \mid x) \right],
$$

where the first term measures the loss of predicting errors on real data; and rest three terms are the loss functions on generated data. $Q(\cdot)$ is an approximation of the corresponding posterior data distribution. $p_{\text{enc}}(z_{ia} \mid x)$ is the distribution of $z$ given $x$ parameterized.
Table 1: The details of networks. Stride is 2 for all layers. C indicates the convolutional and deconvolutional filter individually. $64\times C(4\times 4)$ means $64$ convolutional filters with size $4\times 4$. FC means the fully connected layer. The Discriminator and Recognition networks share the same four layers (the first four layers in the third column). The last layer of Discriminator and Recognition networks are $1$ and $256+\mid y\mid$ neurons individually. Note that: (1) $\triangledown$ and $\triangledown$ indicate the activation of ReLU and Leaky ReLU (ratio: 0.2) for the corresponding networks. (2) $\triangledown$ indicates the reparameterization trick [12]; specifically, we take $z \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2)$; and $256$ and $256$ neurons to regress $\mu$ and $\sigma$ respectively. On decoding part, $z = \mu + \epsilon \sigma$, $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$. (3) $\mid y\mid$ means the number of hairstyles. (4) $\triangledown$ denotes the reshape operation.

by the encoder network; $p_{\text{data}}(y)$ is the data distribution of $y$ on real data; $p_{\text{data}}(y \mid x)$ is the data distribution of $y$ given $x$ on the real data; $p_{\text{data}}(x)$ is the data distribution of $x$ on the real data; $p_{\text{prior}}(z)$ is the prior distribution of $z$ and we use the Gaussian distribution $N(0, 1)$; $p_{\text{dec}}(x \mid z, y)$ is the distribution of $x$ given $z$ and $y$, and the distribution is parameterized by the decoder network; $p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x)$ is the distribution of $z$ given $x$ and the distribution parameterized by the encoder network [40].

For the recognition loss on $y$, the loss functions for the discriminator and generator are defined as:

$$L_{rgy-D} = -E_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x), y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y \mid x)} \left[ \log (Q(y \mid x)) \right]$$ (10)

$$L_{rgy-G} = -E_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x), y \sim p_{\text{prior}}(z \mid x), y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y \mid x)} \left[ \log (Q(y \mid x)) \right] - E_{x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x), y \sim p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x), y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y \mid x)} \left[ \log (Q(y \mid x)) \right]$$ (11)

where for the discriminator $L_{rgy-D}$, only the real data is used to train the model since the quality of generated data in the training process is unreliable.

Training algorithms. The training of H-GAN is optimized by many epochs; each epoch is divided into three stages, namely:

1. Learning image reconstruction: we update the encoder-decoder subnetwork and learn to reconstruct the image given the desired hairstyle. Specifically, we sample a batch of images $x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$ and hairstyle $y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y)$, $z \sim p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x)$ to update the encoder, and decoder, by minimizing $L_{\text{enc}}$ and $L_{\text{dec}}$ individually.

2. Learning image modification: Given an image $x$ and desired hairstyle $y$, this stage learns of modifying image $x$ with the $y$ hairstyle. In particular, we firstly sample a batch of images $x \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$ and the hairstyle $y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y)$, $z \sim p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x)$, to update the decoder and discriminator by minimizing $L_{\text{dec}}$ and $L_{\text{DSL-GAN}}$ respectively.

3. Learning image generation: We sample a batch of latent vectors $z \sim p_{\text{prior}}(z)$ and the hairstyle $y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y)$; to minimize the decoder $L_{\text{dec}}$ and discriminator with $L_{\text{DSL-GAN}}$ iteratively.

4.3 Generation and modification of hairstyles

Our H-GAN can be used to perform both tasks. Generation of hairstyles. To generate a new hairstyle image, we can sample $z$ from $p_{\text{prior}}(z)$ and setting $y$ to any desired hairstyle. The image can be generated as $x' = G(z, y)$.

Modification of hairstyles. For efficient image editing, we proposed to utilize the residual differences between the desired hairstyle and all hairstyles. The resultant hairstyle modification algorithm takes two steps. (1) Given an image $x$ and the desired hairstyle $y_{\text{desired}}$, we first sample $z \sim p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x)$. (2) We employ the encoder to compute the corresponding $z$ of all images. We compute the $z_{\text{ydesired}} = E_{z \sim p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x), y \sim p_{\text{data}}(y \mid x)} [z]$ (12)

$z = E_{z \sim p_{\text{enc}}(z \mid x), y \sim p_{\text{data}}(x \mid z)} [z]$ (13)

where $z_{\text{ydesired}}$ is the mean vector of images with the desired hairstyle; and $z$ is the mean vector for all the images. We then compute the $\Delta = z_{\text{ydesired}} - z$; and the modified image can be generated by $x' = p_{\text{dec}}(x \mid z + \Delta, y_{\text{desired}})$.

5 EXPERIMENTS

5.1 Experimental setup

Dataset. We conduct the experiments on three datasets.

1. Hairstyle30K is the newly proposed dataset in this paper. This dataset has totally 30911 images of 64 different fine-grained male and female hairstyles. On average, each hairstyle has 480 images.

2. CelebA is a facial attribute dataset of approximately 200K images of 10k identities [19]. Each image is annotated with 5 landmarks (two eyes, the nose tip, the mouth corners) and binary labels of 40 attributes. Among all these annotations, 8 attributes are related to hairstyle and thus can be used to evaluate our H-GAN algorithm.

3. Male hairstyle dataset combines the same male styles from both Hairstyle and CelebA dataset. Particularly we divide the male styles into 6 different male hairstyle classes, namely, bald, bang, curly, long, undercut-short, and undercut-long. We re-annotate the images from Hairstyle and CelebA dataset into these 6 categories. Totally, this dataset has 38293 images, and each class on average contains 6382 images. Compare to the original Hairstyle30K dataset, this dataset has much few classes but each class has much more samples and suffers less the class imbalance problem.

Evaluation. We employ several different evaluation metrics to evaluate our proposed dataset and H-GAN algorithm. (1) Classification of hairstyles. We evaluate the tasks of the classification tasks of the Hairstyle30K dataset. Particularly, in each hairstyle class, the images are equally sliced into two halves. 50% images are used for training while the rest images are saved as the testing data. The mean accuracy (i.e., the mean of the diagonal of the confusion matrix) is employed as the metric for evaluation due to the data
Table 2: Inception Scores on CelebA and Male hairstyle datasets. The higher values, the better results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>H-GAN</th>
<th>VAEGAN</th>
<th>Attrb2img</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male hairstyle</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CelebA</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Hairstyle generation

Competitors. We compare various open-source methods on this task, including VAEGAN [14], and Attrb2img [39]. Attrb2img is an advanced version of CVAE. To make the results more comparable, all the methods are trained with the same experimental settings. We conduct the generation of hairstyles on both the CelebA and male hairstyle datasets.

The results of inception scores on CelebA and Male hairstyle dataset are compared and shown in Fig. 2. Both the inception scores of the generated and reconstructed images are compared. Totally 3000 images are generated for each method. A close inspection of the qualitative results generated on CelebA (see Fig. 7) and Male dataset (see Fig. 5), we conclude that our H-GAN’s results are still better than those of Attrb2img in term of the resolution, and clarity of generated hairs. Particularly, the images generated by Attrb2img has very blurred hairstyles but sharp human faces (which have contributed to the high inception scores).

Qualitative results. Some qualitative examples of the generated images of VAEGAN [14], Attrb2img [39] and H-GAN are illustrated in Fig. 7 and Fig. 5 for CelebA and Male hairstyle respectively. The generation results of Attrb2img show again sharp human faces and yet blurred hairstyles. The VAEGAN can generated hairstyles with fine details. Nevertheless, the overall quality of generated images of VAEGAN is worse than our H-GAN. For example, the second image of the VAEGAN results contains a very distorted face.
5.4 Modifying hairstyles

**Competitors.** We compare various open source methods on editing hairstyles, including Attrib2img [39], and VAEGAN [14]. Though Attrib2img is not designed for modifying the images, we can actually employ the “attribute-conditioned image progression” strategy suggested in [39] to interpolate one type of hairstyle gradually changing the values along the dimension of hairstyle. The same experimental settings are used for all the experiments.

**User-study experiments.** Since the task of modifying hairstyles is essentially only modifying some parts of images, we notice that the modified images often have almost similar visual quality and thus the inception score used in generation task is not suitable as the evaluation metrics any more in this task. Instead, a user study as suggested in [40] is employed to compare these methods. Particularly, ten participants unaware of the project goals are invited for the user study. Given each image and one target hairstyle, three methods are utilized to modify the hairstyle of images and we can obtain two images. Totally 100 images are randomly sampled from the CelebA and Male hairstyle dataset respectively, and we
In this paper, we aim to present a comprehensive study on various hairstyle-related problems including classification, generation and modification of hairstyles. To promote the study of this topical issue, we introduce a new large-scale hairstyle dataset – Hairstyle with the “age” and “eyeglasses” attribute in CelebA, since usually Attrb2img still suffer from the problem of very blurred hair. We also notice that in Fig. 8, the hairstyle of Gray hair is highly correlated of modiﬁed and original images, the participants will be asked to guess which hairstyle has been modiﬁed from the four candidate choices, which are randomly sampled from the hairstyle names and of course, the correct hairstyle name should be included.

Quantitative results. We list the user-study results in Tab. 3. On all metrics, our H-GAN beats the other compared methods. Thus we can draw the conclusion that our H-GAN can more effectively modify the hairstyles whilst keeping the person’s identity. Interestingly, even though Attrb2img has relative good visual quality, the strategy of modifying hairstyle employed by Attrb2img is relative less efficient and the scores “Guess” is signiﬁcantly lower than the other two methods.

Qualitative results. Some visualization results are compared in Fig. 8 and Fig. 6. Each row is corresponding to one type of hairstyle. Each column indicates the results of one method. We highlight that in general, the results of our H-GAN are always better than or at least comparable to those of the other two methods. The results of Attrb2img still suffer from the problem of very blurred hair. We also notice that in Fig. 8, the hairstyle of Gray hair is highly correlated with the “age” and “eyeglasses” attribute in CelebA, since usually the senior person may wear glasses and have gray hair.

6 CONCLUSION
In this paper, we aim to present a comprehensive study on various hairstyle-related problems including classification, generation and modification of hairstyles. To promote the study of this topical issue, we introduce a new large-scale hairstyle dataset – Hairstyle30k with extensive hairstyle annotation. To automatically generate and change the hairstyle, we also propose a new – H-GAN model. Extensive experiments on several benchmark datasets had validated the effectiveness of the proposed H-GAN over the existing methods.
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Table 3: The user-study of modiﬁcation of user-deﬁned attributes. The “Guess” results are reported as the accuracy of guessing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Saliency</th>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
<th>Guess</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attrb2img</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAEGAN</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-GAN</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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